Evaluation rubric C3

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Evaluation Criteria Task requirements | Exceeds expectations(A) | Meets expectations(B) | Acceptable but needs improvement(C) | Does not meet expectations(D) | Serious difficulties or incomplete(E) |
| **Pertinence of the text** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moral integration | The story very well reflects the meaning of the moral. | The story correctly reflects the meaning of the moral. | The story somewhat reflects what the moral means.  | The story does not reflect very well what the moral means.  | The story does not reflect the meaning of the moral. Or there is no moral in the story.  |
| **Formulation of the text** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Errors- sentence structure (overall comprehension)- past tense | No or very few errors that does not impede understanding. Very good use of past tense. | A few errors, but they do not impede understanding. Good use of past tense. | Some errors that sometimes impede understanding, but the overall presentation is clear. Somewhat good use of past tense.  | Errors often impede understanding. The overall presentation is understood with a certain level of difficulty. No or little use of past tense.  | Numerous errors that make the presentation incomprehensible. Confusing use of verb tenses. |
| **Management of *communication* and *learning strategies*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writing process- Brainstorming- Outline- First draft- Peer-review - Final draft  | All writing process steps are perfectly followed and completed. Extra work has even been done. | All writing process steps are followed and well completed. | Most writing process steps are followed and completed. | Few writing process steps are followed and completed. | The writing process steps are not followed. Nothing, or only the final draft, was submitted.  |
| **Management of resources** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of the peer-review form  | Put in great efforts to give excellent comments to the other team. Very clear evidence of integration of the comments from the other team.  | Put in the efforts to give good comments to the other team. Clear evidence of integration of the comments from the other team. | Put in some efforts to give comments to the other team. Some evidence of integration of the comments from the other team. | Put in few efforts to give comments to the other team. Few evidence of integration of the comments from the other team. | Put in no effort to give comments to the other team. No evidence of integration of the comments from the other team. |

Evaluation Rubric C1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Evaluation Criteria Task requirements | Exceeds expectations(A) | Meets expectations(B) | Acceptable but needs improvement(C) | Does not meet expectations(D) | Serious difficulties or incomplete(E) |
| **Pertinence of the message** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Relevance of the items presented  | The items are very relevant to the photostory and the moral is very well represented. | The items are relevant to the photostory and the moral is well represented.  | The items are somewhat relevant to the photostory and the moral is somewhat represented.  | The items are not really relevant to the photostory and the moral is not well represented.  | The items are not relevant to the photostory and the moral is not represented.  |
| Oral presentation elements:State which moral you choseExplain why you chose this moralAnalysis of your picturesPresentation of the photostory | All elements (4) are presented and explained very clearly.  | All elements (4) are presented and explained.  | Not all elements (2-3) are presented and explained.  | Very few elements (1) are presented and explained.  | No elements are presented.  |
| **Articulation of the message** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Errors | No or very few errors that does not impede understanding. Varied vocabulary. | A few errors but does not impede understanding. Varied vocabulary. | Some errors that sometimes impede understanding, but the overall presentation is clear | Errors repeatedly impede understanding. The overall presentation is understood with a certain level of difficulty.  | Numerous errors that makes the presentation incomprehensible. |
| Intonation during the photostory recording | Very good intonation, the tone of the character is very clear.  | Good intonation, the tone of the character is clear. | Somewhat good intonation, the tone of the character is somewhat clear. | Poor intonation, the tone of the character is not very clear. | No intonation at all, impossible to know what the tone of the character is.  |
| **Management of resources** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of the notes  | Very well prepared and does not need to look at the notes. | Well prepared and does not read off the notes. | Not very well prepared, sometimes reads off the notes.  | Not well prepared, most of the time reads the notes out loud.  | Not prepared, does not have any notes.  |